

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

CURTIS J. NEELEY, JR.

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 5:13-mc-00066

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION;
U.S. REPRESENTATIVES; JOHN BOEHNER, et al.;
U.S. SENATORS; JOE BIDEN, et al.;
U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, ERIC HOLDER ESQ.;
MICROSOFT CORPORATION; and
GOOGLE INC.

DEFENDANTS

O R D E R

Now on this 12th day of December 2013, comes on for consideration plaintiff Curtis J. Neeley, Jr.'s **Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of the Motion for Leave to File a Pro Se Complaint** (document #4), along with the accompanying brief and supplemental brief. The Court, being well and sufficiently advised, finds that the motion consists of arguments Mr. Neeley has already made and presents no errors of law or fact for the Court to reconsider. See Broadway v. Norris, 193 F.3d 987, 990 (8th Cir. 1999) (A motion for reconsideration should not be used as a vehicle for merely rearguing the merits.). Therefore, the motion will be denied.

The Court notes Mr. Neeley's request in his supplemental brief (document #7) that the proposed complaint be filed and served provisionally with the condition that Mr. Neeley obtain competent legal representation within sixty days. That request

COURT
EXHIBIT
3

will likewise be denied. The Court would remind Mr. Neeley that, while he is enjoined from filing a pro se complaint relating to events previously litigated, he is free to seek legal representation to file an action on his behalf.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of the Motion for Leave to File a Pro Se Complaint** (document #4) is hereby **denied**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Jimm Larry Hendren
JIMM LARRY HENDREN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE